



Clinical leadership and professional change

A John Campbell

Clinical leadership

The health professions working in partnership with the public and their representatives to achieve the best of health care possible.

Clinical leadership

- Clinical leadership requires a partnership with the public
- Partnership requires public trust in the maintenance of professional standards
- Trust cannot be assumed
- Clinical leadership is needed to establish trustworthy professional standards

Characteristics of a profession

“... the inaccessible nature of the knowledge and the commitment to altruism are the justification for the profession’s autonomy to establish and maintain standards of practice and self-regulation to assure quality.”

Cruess, Cruess & Johnston Lancet 2000; 356: 156 – 59

Characteristics of a profession

“... the **inaccessible** nature of the **knowledge** and the commitment to **altruism** are the justification for the profession's **autonomy** to establish and maintain standards of practice and **self-regulation** to assure quality.”

Cruess, Cruess & Johnston Lancet 2000; 356: 156 - 59

Change and consequence

- Growth, complexity, availability of knowledge
- Effective treatment: good and bad outcomes
- Effective management of chronic disease
- Increased health expenditure
- Socioeconomic gain; self determination
- Social equality

Shifting boundaries: the patient and the professional

- I decide what is in your best interests
- I decide and seek your consent
- You and I discuss the options and come to an agreed plan

Shifting boundaries: the public and the profession

- Professional group self determination
 - “unbridled medical power and paternalism”
- Self regulation to meet professional standards
- Public and professional regulation to meet agreed standards
- Public/political regulation with loss of professional leadership

Shifting boundaries: the public and the profession

- Professional group self determination
 - “unbridled medical power and paternalism”
- Self regulation to meet professional standards
- Public and professional regulation to meet agreed standards
- Public/political regulation with loss of professional leadership

Damage to trust

- National Womens Hospital and consent for research
- Cases of sexual misconduct
- High profile competence cases
- Congenital heart collection and consent
- Ophthalmologists and the Commerce Commission

Professional lag and loss of trust

- Informed consent
- Explicit standards
- Self regulation and the maintenance of professional standards
- Public accountability and professional self interest

Characteristics of a profession

“Supposedly, trust and trusting social relations have demonstratively failed; they masked a ‘club’ culture in which professional cosiness, producer capture and (at worst) corruption flourished.”

“ ... new public culture based on accountability and ‘transparency’

Onora O’Neill Clin Med 2004; 4: 269 - 76

Trust, standards and fitness to practise

- Trust must reside at some level, or with one group in the system
- Professional accountability is dependent on other members of the profession
- Cannot move from stupid trust to stupid accountability
 - Onora O'Neill Clin Med 2004; 4: 269 - 76

Trust, standards and fitness to practise

“Trust is essential to the practice of medicine”

Code of Professional Practice
Hong Kong Medical Council

Competence, care and communication, judgment

Core professional values apply not only to the health professional but also to the profession, and its training, representative and governance bodies.

Professional groupings

- The “Club”
- Profession’s political bodies
 - Professional associations, unions
- Professional standard setters
 - Professional schools
 - Postgraduate Colleges
 - Councils and Boards

Trust in professional standard setters

- Public involvement in professional
bodies

Trust in professional standard setters (i) public involvement

- Public representation in professional governance
- Public representation in disciplinary, competence and health deliberations
- Explicit professional standards developed after public consultation
- Clear complaints processes for patients

Trust in professional standard setters

- Public involvement in professional bodies
- Robust processes to insure professional competence

Is identifying the poor or ill
practitioner after mistakes sufficient?

Do we all have areas of professional
practice which require attention?

Am I my colleague's keeper?

Fitness to practise

- patient care
- public confidence
- requirement of most regulatory authorities
- peer awareness of practice deficiencies
- evidence of deteriorating performance
 - Ann Int Med 2005; 142: 260-73

Deteriorating performance

- 32 of 62 decreasing performance
- 13 of 62 deterioration in some aspects
 - knowledge (all),
 - diagnosis and screening (63%),
 - therapy (74%),
 - outcome (57%)
- Possible cohort effect, failure to update

Ann Int Med 2005; 142: 260-73

Trust in professional standard setters (ii) competence

- Strengthened peer review and audit
- Continuous knowledge assessment
- Regular professional body assessment of performance
- Accountability for colleagues

“The peak of professionalism is somebody who absolutely understands and knows how to relate to people, who shares values with everyone else and is operating in a system where the institution shares all those values ”

Sir Nigel Crisp in Doctors in Society, 2005.

Clinical leadership

- Clinical leadership is dependent on the public acceptance of professional standards
 - partnership
 - trust in professional competence
- Maintenance of professional competence requires strong clinical leadership